Local Corner Smoothing Transition Algorithm Based on Double Cubic NURBS for Five-axis Linear Tool Path
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A five-axis tool path is often composed of a linear path that has a tangential discontinuity so that the tangential velocity will be abrupt or be equal to zero at the corner of the adjacent segments. Therefore, it greatly affects the machining efficiency and quality. Thus, a corner smoothing transition algorithm based on double cubic NURBS, which is curvature-continuous and satisfies the given accuracy constraint, is proposed to smooth the local corner at the adjacent five-axis linear path. On the basis of the smoothing transition model, the parametric synchronization between the smoothed double NURBS trajectories and the remaining two linear segments are respectively constructed to realize the smoothing variation along the tool tip translational trajectory and tool axis rotational trajectory. The simulation and examples show that the proposed transition algorithm can satisfy the error constraints, reduce the feedrate fluctuation, and improve the machining quality.
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Highlights
- A corner smoothing transition algorithm based on double cubic NURBS is proposed to smooth the five-axis linear tool path.
- The proposed algorithm is curvature-continuous and satisfies the given accuracy constraint.
- The approximation error has an analytical relationship with the transition length of the smoothed curve.
- The synchronization method can guarantee tool-tip translation and tool axis rotation with continuous and smooth variation.
- The proposed method has been validated with simulations and experimental results.

INTRODUCTION

Five-axis numerical control (NC) machining has become an important technique for high-efficient machining of the complex surface parts [1]. Compared with traditional three-axis NC machining, five-axis NC machining can significantly improve the tool accessibility by changing the tool orientation and possess the superiority in high speed and precision machining [2]. However, one main factor affecting the five-axis high speed and precision machining is the smoothing problem of the tool path. Most of the CAM software use a series of linear segments to approximate the complex surface to generate a five-axis tool path, which is composed of many discrete tool positions [3]. Thus, the five-axis tool path is a linear segment and will result in the tangency and curvature discontinuity that appear at the junction of adjacent segments. During the machining process, the tangential velocity will be a mutation or be equal to zero at the corner of the adjacent segments so that it greatly affects the machining efficiency and machining quality [4] and [5]. Therefore, geometrical smoothing of the linear tool path must be achieved first to guarantee smooth motion and avoid frequent acceleration/deceleration. Once the linear tool path is smoothed, feedrate planning can be performed using the jerk continuous planning method to produce a smooth cutting movement along the tool path [6]. As a result, cutting efficiency and machining quality will be improved significantly.

In recent years, with much deeper research on the parametric curves, such as Bezier, B-Spline and non-uniform rational basis spline (NURBS), the tool path of parametric curves has been widely used to describe smooth trajectories and realize tangential continuities [7] to [9]. Thus, there are two kinds of ways available in the literature to smooth the linear tool path by utilizing these smooth parametric curves: global smoothing and local smoothing [10], as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Linear toolpath smoothing](image-url)
Global smoothing means that the discrete tool points are approximated or interpolated by using one parametric curve to generate the smooth toolpath with $G^1$ and above continuity. Langeron et al. [11] proposed a new method to generate the five-axis tool path by double NURBS, which described the trajectories of the tool tip points and the tool axis points, respectively. Li et al. [12] extended the three-axis NURBS codes format to five-axis machining by the double NURBS interpolation method to smooth the linear five-axis tool path. Bi et al. [13] presented compact dual-NURBS tool paths with isometric distance algorithm to smooth the linear five-axis tool paths and used the quaternion to realize the spatial motion of the tool. All the above methods are performed in a work coordinate system (WCS), and some smoothing algorithm are also realized in a machine coordinate system (MCS) [14] and [15]. Li et al. [16] proposed a linear five-axis toolpath smoothing algorithm based on double NURBS in MCS.

The local smoothing means that a smooth curve satisfying the predefined error constraint was inserted at the corner of two adjacent linear segments to realize the smoothness and continuity of the linear tool path. In recent years, more and more methods have been developed for three-axis corner smoothing [17] to [19]. However, because it is difficult to realize the smoothing of the tool orientation for five-axis tool paths, there have been only a few studies and further effort should be made on this subject. Buedaert et al. [5] presented a five-axis corner rounding method to smooth the tool path satisfying the acceleration and jerk limit. Two B-Spline curves were inserted at the corner of the tool path to smooth the tool tip trajectory and the tool orientation, respectively. Shi et al. [20] proposed a smoothing method to round the corners of the five-axis tool paths with a pair of quintic PH curves, in which one curve rounded the corner of the tool-tip trajectory and the other curve rounded the corner of the trajectory of the tool axis. Tulsyan et al. [10] proposed a new approach to achieving the smoothing corner by inserting quintic and septic splines for the tool tip points and tool orientations at the adjacent linear five-axis tool path, respectively.

However, the overcuts on the parts caused by the tool orientation adjustment are not strictly restricted. Thus, it remains a challenge for a five-axis NC system to maintain the requirements of machining accuracy and smoothness of the tool motion trajectory simultaneously.

In this paper, a pair of double cubic NURBS curves satisfying the predefined fitting accuracy are used to fair the corners of the adjacent five-axis linear segments and generate the smooth toolpath with $G^2$ continuity in WCS. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 1 proposes the five-axis corner smoothing transition algorithm based on double cubic NURBS curves in WCS. Section 2 performs the synchronization of the smoothed five-axis paths. In Section 3, computational example and experiment are adopted to evaluate the validity and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm. Finally, Section 4 is the conclusion of this paper.

1 CORNER SMOOTHING TRANSITION

1.1 Local Corner Smoothing by Double Cubic NURBS Curves with $G^2$ Continuity

The discrete tool location data in WCS, which can be acquired from the CAM module in five-axis NC machining, consists of a series of tool tip points $\{A_i = (x_{ai}, y_{ai}, z_{ai}), i = 1, ..., m\}$ and a series of tool axis vectors $\{O_i = (o_{x,i}, o_{y,i}, o_{z,i})\}$. Therefore, the five-axis linear tool path in WCS can be described by two linear trajectories. One represents the translational linear trajectory which is defined by a sequence of tool tip points $A_i$, and another represents the rotational linear trajectory defined by a sequence of tool axis points (which are the second points on the tool axis) $\{B_i = (x_{bi}, y_{bi}, z_{bi})\}$, as shown in Fig. 2. The tool axis vector $O_i$ can be computed by the pair of tool tip point and tool axis point ($A_i$, $B_i$). Thus, the tool tip point, tool axis point, and tool axis vector satisfy the following relations:

$$B_i = A_i + H \cdot O_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$O_i = \frac{B_i - A_i}{\|B_i - A_i\|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $H$ is the distance between the tool tip point and tool axis point.

The term “curvature-continuous” (also called as “$G^2$ continuous”) means that two curves share
the same curvature centre at the junction. The path with \( G^2 \) continuity can guarantee the continuities of tangency and curvature so that the fluctuation in feed, acceleration and jerk can be improved greatly. Meanwhile, the minimum degree of the NURBS curve satisfying the \( G^2 \) continuity is cubic. Thus, double cubic NURBS curves are utilized to fair the corner of the adjacent five-axis linear segments and generate the smooth toolpath with \( G^2 \) continuity. The smooth transition cubic NURBS pair, which are constrained with five control points, are respectively given as [21]:

\[
P_i(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{4} N_{j,3}(u)w_{i,j}P_{i,j}, \quad u \in [0,1]
\]

\[
Q(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{4} N_{j,3}(v)w_{i,j}Q_{i,j}, \quad v \in [0,1]
\]

where \( N_{j,3}(u) \) are the 3rd degree B-spline basis functions defined on the knot vector \( U = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0.5, 1, 1, 1, 1\} \) and can be computed as:

\[
N_{j,3}(u) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{u - u_j}{u_{j+1} - u_j} N_{j,2}(u) + \frac{u_{j+4} - u}{u_{j+4} - u_{j+1}} N_{j+2,2}(u), & \text{if } u_j \leq u < u_{j+1} \\
1, & \text{if } u < u_j \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

### 1.2 Corner Smoothing of the Linear Trajectory of Tool Tip Points

Corner smoothing in three-axis linear segments can be regarded as the foundation of the corner smoothing in the five-axis tool path. One cubic NURBS curve is utilized to fair the corner of the translational path, and another cubic NURBS curve is used to fair the corner of the rotational path. Thus, by inserting the double cubic NURBS curves to fair the translational and rotational paths, the corner of the five-axis linear tool path can be smoothed. Therefore, in this section, take the translational trajectory of the tool tip points as an example, the NURBS corner smoothing transition model satisfying the error constraint and \( G^2 \) continuity will be constructed.

#### 1.2.1 Construction of the Corner Smoothing Transition Model

As shown in Fig. 3, the corner is formed by two adjacent segments of the translation trajectory \( A_{i-1}A_i \) and \( A_iA_{i+1} \). The unit vectors of the two adjacent segments are \( E_{i-1} \) and \( E_{i+1} \) respectively, and the angle of the two unit vectors is \( \alpha_i \in [0, \pi] \).

![Image of the corner smoothing model with NURBS transition](image)

Then the corner is rounded by a cubic NURBS curve satisfying the error constraint and \( G^2 \) continuity. \( P_{i,0}, P_{i,1}, P_{i,2}, P_{i,3}, \) and \( P_{i,4} \) are five control points of the NURBS curve, and the weights are \( w_{i,0} = w_{i,4}, w_{i,1} = w_{i,3} \) and \( w_{i,2} \), and the knot vector is \( U = \{0, 0, 0, 0.5, 1, 1, 1, 1\} \). Meanwhile, point \( P_{i,2} \) coincides with point \( A_i \) so that it is convenient to fix the NURBS curve. In addition, the points \( P_{i,0}, P_{i,1}, P_{i,3}, P_{i,4} \) symmetrically located in linear segments \( A_{i-1}A_i \) and \( A_iA_{i+1} \), and satisfy the following relations:

\[
L_{i,1} = |P_{i,0}A_i| = |A_iP_{i,4}|, \tag{5}
\]

\[
2d_{i,1} = |P_{i,1}A_i| = |A_iP_{i,3}|, \tag{6}
\]

where \( L_{i,1} \) presents the transition length of the NURBS curve. According to [5], the relationship between \( L_{i,1} \) and \( d_{i,1} \) satisfy that \( L_{i,1}/2d_{i,1} = |P_{i,0}A_i|/|P_{i,1}A_i| \in [1.4, 1.75] \). Sets the ratio \( L_{i,1}/2d_{i,1} = 1.5 \), then Eqs. (5) and (6) can be reformulated as:

\[
L_{i,1} = 1.5 |P_{i,1}A_i| = 1.5 |A_iP_{i,3}| = 3d_{i,1}, \tag{7}
\]

\[
|P_{i,1}A_i| = |A_iP_{i,3}| = 2L_{i,1}/3. \tag{8}
\]

when the tool tip points and the transition lengths are acquired, the five control points of the cubic NURBS curve can be located as:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{i,0} &= A_i - L_{i,1}E_{i,1} \\
P_{i,1} &= A_i - \frac{2}{3}L_{i,1}E_{i,1} \\
P_{i,2} &= A_i \tag{9} \\
P_{i,3} &= A_i + \frac{2}{3}L_{i,1}E_{i,1} \\
P_{i,4} &= A_i + L_{i,1}E_{i,1}
\end{align*}
\]
where the transition length $L_{i,1}$ must be limited by the predefined approximation error $\varepsilon$, and it can be obtained using the analytical relationship between the transition length and the approximation error shown in the next section.

### 1.2.2 Estimation Error of the Smoothed Curve

As shown in Fig. 3, the inconsistency of the machining path between the newly inserted transition curve and the original linear segments will cause the approximation error. Therefore, the inserted NURBS path must satisfy the constraint of the approximation error.

Because the curve $P_i(u)$ is symmetrical with the angular bisector of the angle $\angle A_{i-1}A_iA_{i+1}$, the maximal error between the transition curve and two linear segments is the distance between the midpoint of the curve $P_i(u)$ at $u=0.5$ and the point $A_i$, that is $|P_i(0.5)|$. Suppose that the approximation error, the maximal approximation error, and the predefined approximation error are $\varepsilon_{i,1}$, $\varepsilon_{i,1,max}$ and $\varepsilon$, respectively. If $\varepsilon_{i,1,max} \leq \varepsilon$, then the approximation error between the transition curve and the adjacent segments can be limited.

The approximation error of the transition NURBS curve must satisfy the following condition:

$$
\varepsilon_{i,1} \leq \varepsilon_{i,1,max} = \frac{2w_i L_i \sin(\alpha_i / 2)}{3(w_i + w_2)} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (10)
$$

### 1.2.3 Analytical Relationship between the Transition Length and the Approximation Error

According to Eq. (10), the transition length $L_{i,1}$ must satisfy the restraint of the predefined approximation error $\varepsilon$, so the transition length $L_{i,1}$ can be expressed as:

$$
L_{i,1} = \frac{3(w_i + w_2)\varepsilon_{i,1,max}}{3w_i \sin(\alpha_i / 2)} \leq \frac{3(w_i + w_2)\varepsilon}{2w_i \sin(\alpha_i / 2)}, \quad (11)
$$

when $\varepsilon_{i,1,max} = \varepsilon$, the transition length $L_{i,1}$ has the maximum value, and it can be written as:

$$
L_{i,1} = \frac{3(w_i + w_2)\varepsilon}{2w_i \sin(\alpha_i / 2)}. \quad (12)
$$

Meanwhile, the sum of the transition lengths at the adjacent segments must not exceed the length of linear segment they lie in, that means:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i-1,i} + L_{i,1} & \leq |A_{i-1}A_i|, \\
L_{i,1} + L_{i,i+1} & \leq |A_iA_{i+1}|.
\end{align*} \quad (13)
$$

It can be concluded that $L_{i,1}$ satisfy the following constraint:

$$
L_{i,1} \leq \min \left\{ 0.5|A_{i-1}A_i|, 0.5|A_iA_{i+1}| \right\}. \quad (14)
$$

The transition length $L_{i,1}$ can be obtained as:

$$
L_{i,1} \leq \min \left\{ 0.5|A_{i-1}A_i|, 0.5|A_iA_{i+1}|, \frac{3(w_i + w_2)\varepsilon_{i,1,max}}{2w_i \sin(\alpha_i / 2)} \right\}. \quad (15)
$$

Fig. 4. Five-axis corner transition model based on double cubic NURBS with $G^2$ continuity

### 1.3 Generation of Five-Axis Corner Smoothing Model with $G^2$ Continuity

Similarly to the generation of the corner smoothing transition model of the translation paths, the corner smoothing transition model of the tool axis points can also be constructed. Thus, by using a series of double cubic NURBS curves $P_i(u)$ and $Q_i(v)$, the corners in five-axis linear paths can be smoothed with $G^2$ continuity, as shown in Fig. 4. The NURBS curve $P_i(u)$ is used to smooth the corner $\angle A_{i-1}A_iA_{i+1}$, and the maximal tool tip approximation error between the smoothed curve and the original linear segments is $\varepsilon_{i,1,max}$. The NURBS curve $Q_i(v)$ is utilized to fair the corner $\angle B_{i-1}B_iB_{i+1}$, and the maximum tool axis approximation error is $\varepsilon_{i,2,max}$. If both the maximal approximation errors $\varepsilon_{i,1,max}$ and $\varepsilon_{i,2,max}$ are constrained under the predefined approximation error $\varepsilon$ as Eq. (16), then the transition lengths can be obtained.

$$
\max(\varepsilon_{i,1,max}, \varepsilon_{i,2,max}) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (16)
$$

Next, it is necessary to determine the maximal approximation errors $\varepsilon_{i,1,max}$ and $\varepsilon_{i,2,max}$. In this paper, the distance between the tool tip point and the reference tool axis point is constant with $H$. Taking the original tool location $(P_{i,0}, Q_{i,0})$ as an example, the distance between points $P_{i,0}$ and $Q_{i,0}$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$
|Q_{i,0} - P_{i,0}| = H. \quad (17)
$$
Left of the above equation can be computed as:

\[
|O_{ij} - P_{ij}| = \left| (B_j - A_j + L_{ij}E_{ij},) - (A_j - L_{ij}E_{ij}) \right|
\]

\[
= \left| (B_j - A_j) + L_{ij}E_{ij}, - L_{ij}E_{ij}, \right|
\]

\[
= H \cdot O_{ij} + \frac{3e_{1,max}E_{ij}}{\sin(\alpha_j/2)} - \frac{3e_{2,max}E_{ij}}{\sin(\beta_j/2)} \geq H + \frac{3e_{1,max}}{\sin(\alpha_j/2)} - \frac{3e_{2,max}}{\sin(\beta_j/2)}.
\]  

(18)

If Eq. (17) is tenable, the right part of Eq. (18) must only exist \( H \), so we can conclude that:

\[
\frac{3e_{1,max}}{\sin(\alpha_j/2)} - \frac{3e_{2,max}}{\sin(\beta_j/2)} = 0.
\]

The above formula can be calculated as:

\[
\frac{3e_{1,max}}{3e_{2,max}} = \frac{\sin(\alpha_j/2)}{\sin(\beta_j/2)}.
\]  

(19)

Hence, according to Eqs. (16) and (19), the approximation errors \( e_{1,max} \) and \( e_{2,max} \) can be determined. Then the transition lengths can be computed based on Eq. (15). Moreover, taking the transition lengths into Eq. (9), all the control points of the transition NURBS curves are given. Finally, the smoothed double cubic NURBS curves for translation paths and rotation trajectories can be written based on Eq. (3).

2 SYNCHRONIZATION OF THE SMOOTHED FIVE AXIS PATHS

After inserting a sequence of double cubic NURBS curves at the adjacent segments, the smooth five-axis tool paths with \( G^2 \) continuity can be generated. However, in five-axis tool path interpolation, both the tool-tip translation and the tool axis rotation must be guaranteed with continuous and smooth variation, so it is a key point to realize synchronous movement between the translational trajectory of tool-tip points and the rotational trajectory of tool axis points.

2.1 Parametric Synchronization of the Double Cubic NURBS Curves

By analysing the interpolation process along the double NURBS trajectories, the synchronous movement can be regarded as the synchronous relationship between the parameter \( u \) and \( v \). That is, if there is parameter \( u \in [u_n,u_{n+1}] \), there must be a parameter \( v \) with \( v \in [v_n,v_{n+1}] \). In addition, the distances between the tool tip trajectory \( P(u) \) and the corresponding tool axis trajectory \( Q(v) \) always keep a fixed value \( H \).

\[
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Fig. 5. Parametric synchronization between the double NURBS curves

Fig. 5 shows the parametric synchronization between the double NURBS paths. If there is parameter \( u \in [u_n,u_{n+1}] \), there must be a parameter \( v \) with \( v \in [v_n,v_{n+1}] \), where \( n = 3, 4 \), and they satisfy the following equation:

\[
|Q(v) - P(u)| = H. \tag{20}
\]

Supposing the parameter \( u \) of the NURBS curve \( P(u) \) is known, then Eq. (20) is translated into Eq. (21) which is solved in a given interval.

\[
\varphi(v) = |Q(v) - P(u)|^2 - H^2 = 0. \tag{21}
\]

Solving Eq. (21), the parameter \( v \) of the NURBS curve \( Q(v) \) corresponding to \( u \) can be obtained. Then according to the relation of \( u \) and \( v \), the tool vector corresponding to the tool-tip point can be expressed as:

\[
\overrightarrow{O} = \frac{Q(v) - P(u)}{|Q(v) - P(u)|}. \tag{22}
\]

Thus, the tool positions in the five-axis corner paths interpolation are known. In addition, the continuous and smooth variation of the rotational trajectory is proved by using the first-order geometrical derivative of the tool vector with respect to the displacement \( s \) of the tool tip trajectory. A detailed presentation had been proposed in [22], so parameter \( u \) and displacement \( s \) is linked by a \( C^3 \) continuous ninth-order spline, which is written as \( u = f(s) \). According to Eq. (21), the relation between \( u \) and \( v \) is simply written as \( v = g(u) \). Then there is:

\[
\frac{d\overrightarrow{O}}{ds} = \frac{d\overrightarrow{O}}{du} \frac{du}{ds} = \frac{d}{du} \frac{Qg(u) - P(u)}{|Qg(u) - P(u)|}f'(s) = \frac{Qg(u)g'(u) - P(u)}{|Qg(u) - P(u)|}f'(s). \tag{23}
\]
It can be concluded from the above equation that the rotational motion of the tool axis is continuous and smooth. Meanwhile, the tool tip point and the corresponding tool vector can be obtained.

### 2.2 Synchronization of the Remained Linear Tool Paths

The remaining five-axis path is the linear path between the tool positions \((P_{i+1,0}, Q_{i+1,0})\) and \((P_{i,1}, Q_{i,1})\) in WCS. Therefore, the synchronization interpolation of five-axis linear paths can be achieved by constructing the parametric synchronization method between the translational trajectory and the rotational trajectory, which can be defined as:

\[
\frac{|A_{i,0} - P_{i,4}|}{P_{i+1,0} - P_{i,4}} = \frac{\theta_{i,0}}{\theta_i} = x_{i,n}, \quad (24)
\]

![Fig. 6. Synchronization of the linear tool path](image)

In this experiment, a flank-milling simulation for an impeller is utilized to fair the corners for five-axis linear tool paths. Two examples are adopted to evaluate the validity and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

#### 3.1 Example 1

In this experiment, a flank-milling simulation for an impeller part is performed by discrete tool data in WCS, as shown in Fig. 7. For the convenience of description, four tool positions are chosen to describe the proposed corner smoothing transition algorithm. Each of the tool positions consists of the tool-tip point \(A_i\) and the tool axis point \(B_i\), and their coordinates are shown in Table 1.

The linear five-axis path of the four tool positions in WCS is generated by MATLAB, as shown in Fig. 8. Then, the proposed local corner smoothing transition algorithm is used to smooth the corners of linear tool paths, as shown in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 7. Flank milling for an impeller part](image)
lengths are mainly limited by the predefined approximation error and the half length of the adjacent segments. Thus, setting two groups fitting precision to smooth the corners of the linear path, as shown in Table 2, and the results show that the proposed corner-smoothing algorithm can be used to generate the double NURBS path satisfying the given precision error.

**Table 1.** The tool positions in WCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Tool-tip points $A_i$ [mm]</th>
<th>Tool axis points $B_i$ [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$(174.1311,-6.4621,-68.1138)$</td>
<td>$(174.2154,-7.4301,-58.1611)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(176.0862,-6.8579,-68.1299)$</td>
<td>$(176.2593,-7.9576,-58.1921)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(178.2366,-8.4623,-68.2326)$</td>
<td>$(178.4059,-9.7816,-58.3215)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$(179.5084,-11.8775,-68.4966)$</td>
<td>$(179.5424,-13.4244,-58.6170)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 8.** The five-axis linear tool path in WCS

**Fig. 9.** Five-axis corners smoothing based on double NURBS curve

**Table 2.** The double NURBS smoothing results under two fitting precision restriction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>The approximation error for tool position $(A_2, B_2)$ [mm]</th>
<th>The approximation error for tool position $(A_3, B_3)$ [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tool tip curve</td>
<td>Tool axis curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0729</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0729</td>
<td>0.1265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first group result shows the maximal approximation errors for tool positions $(A_2, B_2)$ and $(A_3, B_3)$ under the constraint of the predefined approximation error as 0.078 mm. From Table 2, both of the maximal approximation errors for tool positions $(A_2, B_2)$ and $(A_3, B_3)$ are 0.08 mm, which appears at the centre points of the tool axis curve $Q_2(u)$ and the tool tip curve $P_3(u)$. It can be concluded that the approximation errors are influenced by the predefined approximation error. Fig. 10 shows the error distribution along the double NURBS paths, and it can be seen the approximation errors for tool positions $(A_2, B_2)$ and $(A_3, B_3)$ are less than the predefined error restriction.

**Fig. 10.** The approximation error for tool positions $(A_2, B_2)$ and $(A_3, B_3)$ under No. 1 group fitting precision

**Fig. 11.** The approximation error for tool positions and under No. 2 group fitting precision

Utilizing the proposed algorithm to smooth the tool positions $(A_2, B_2)$ and $(A_3, B_3)$ under the restriction of the predefined error as 0.15 mm, it can be seen from the second group results that the maximal approximation errors for tool positions...
\((A_2, B_2)\) and \((A_3, B_3)\) are 0.079 mm and 0.1307 mm, which appears at the center points of the tool axis curve \(Q_2(v)\) and \(Q_3(v)\), respectively. Fig. 11 shows the error distribution along the double NURBS paths and all the approximation errors for tool positions \((A_2, B_2)\) and \((A_3, B_3)\) do not exceed the given precision.

### 3.2 Example 2

As shown in Fig. 12, to verify the availability of the proposed corner smoothing and synchronization transition algorithm, the flank milling of the impeller on the five-axis machine tool with dual-table is performed by comparing the proposed algorithm and the direct \(G^1\) machining method, which do not use corner smoothing and still maintains the linear paths. It can be seen from Fig. 13 that the results show the proposed algorithm can smooth the linear tool path of blades to satisfy the given fitting accuracy and generate the double NURBS paths with \(G^2\) continuity.

![Fig. 12. The flank milling of an impeller](image)

It can be seen from Fig. 13a that tool marks obviously appear on the blades by using the \(G^1\) machining method. While the machined surfaces of the impeller using the proposed algorithm in Fig. 13b are smoother and possess better finished quality.

Both the proposed corner-smoothing algorithm and the direct \(G^1\) method are implemented by setting the velocity as 1800 mm/min, the acceleration as 1000 mm/s\(^2\), the jerk as 5000 mm/s\(^3\), and the interpolation period as 2 ms. The results of the velocity and acceleration curves are shown in Figs. 14 and 15, respectively. From the results, it can be seen that the proposed algorithm possesses higher velocity with less fluctuation. Compared with the direct \(G^1\) block methods, the proposed algorithm only takes 12.074 s to machine one path of the impeller, while the direct \(G^1\) method spends 14.780 s, so the machining time of the proposed algorithm is reduced by about 18.3%.

It can be concluded that the proposed algorithm can possess a higher machining efficiency, and it can be advantageous to improve the machining accuracy and surface quality.

![Fig. 13. Comparison of the impellers machining quality using two methods; a) the impeller machining quality in direct \(G^1\) block machining method; and b) the impeller machining quality in proposed algorithm](image)

![Fig. 14. Comparison of the velocity on flank milling of a blade; a) the velocity in proposed algorithm and b) the velocity in direct \(G^1\) block-machining method](image)
To verify the availability and precision of the proposed algorithm, the machining errors on the flank milling of a blade are obtained by calculating the deviation of the machined surface. Both of the error results are achieved by setting the predefined error as 0.04 mm. Then, by using the Coordinate Measuring Machining (CMM), 70×7 points can be acquired from the machined blade to compare with the corresponding points of the surface. The error distributions of the machined surface for the two methods are shown in Fig. 16. It can be seen from Fig. 16b that the machining errors, by using the proposed methods, satisfy the predefined error 0.04 mm and most of the machining errors are less than 0.015 mm. However, most of the machining errors exceed the predefined error greatly in the conventional G^1 method. Thus, the comparison results show that the proposed corner smoothing algorithm possesses higher precision and can improve the machining surface quality significantly.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a pair of double cubic NURBS curves satisfying the predefined fitting accuracy are utilized to fair the corners of the adjacent five-axis linear segments and generate the smooth toolpath with G^2 continuity in WCS.

The proposed algorithm has three advantages:
(1) The smoothed cubic NURBS pair are constrained with five control points and can smooth the translation trajectory of the tool tip points and the tool axis points with G^2 continuity, respectively. Then, velocity and acceleration can be improved due to continuities of the tangential direction and curvature. (2) The approximation error has an analytical relationship with the transition length of the smoothed curve. It is easy to construct the analytical double NURBS curves satisfying the pre-defined error limit. (3) The synchronization method can guarantee the continuity in tool orientation variation.
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